

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/591,464	06/09/2000	MICHAEL R. HALE	VPI/99-105	2787	
1473	7590 04/09/200				
FISH & NEAVE			EXAMINER		
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 50TH FLOOR			WRIGHT, SONYA N		
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626	12	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.				
	09/591,464	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	Examiner	HALE ET AL.			
	Sonya Wright	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	-	1626			
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITI oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	-			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The office have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contro	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the trend that the set forth the trend that the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply of a later than three months after the mailing that the months after the mailing than three months.	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the fee. The appropriate extension provided the fee. The appropriate extension are stated to the fee.			
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	R 1.704(b). Brief must be filed within the pel 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid	ered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)∑ ld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-5,7-15,18-22 and 28</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-27.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
0. Other:	JOSEPH K. MCKANE	 ·			
Sonya Wright (703) 308-4539 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600					
(103) 308-45 34					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 23-27 have been withdrawn from consideration. Therefore, was requested that Applicants cancel claims 23-27.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's reply has overcome the rejections under 112 2nd paragraph.

JOSEPH K. McKANE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Sonya Wright April 7,2003 (703)308-4539 Application/Control Number: 09/591,464

Art Unit: 1626

Dear Mr. Lee,

Per our conversation on April 30, 2003, attached is a corrected version of the advisory action mailed 4-9-03. The corrected advisory action indicates that claim 28 is allowed and that claims 23-27 are withdrawn from consideration because they are drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Sonya Wright, Examiner

Page 2